

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION**

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN  
CITIZENS, *et al.*,

*Plaintiffs,*

V.

GREG ABBOTT, *et al.*,

### *Defendants.*

ROY CHARLES BROOKS, *et al.*,

*Plaintiffs,*

V.

GREG ABBOTT, *et al.*,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 3:21-cv-00259  
[Lead Case]

ROY CHARLES BROOKS, *et al.*,

*Plaintiffs,*

V.

GREG ABBOTT, *et al.*,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 1:21-cv-00991  
[Consolidated Case]

## **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION**

For the reasons explained in their Response to the Brooks Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction Hearing, *see* ECF 52, Defendants respectfully request an extension on their deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion. *See* ECF 39. Specifically, Defendants request:

- (1) That Defendants' obligation to respond to Plaintiffs' motion be held in abeyance, and that, at the December 7 status conference, the Court establish a uniform schedule for discovery, briefing, and argument on the Brooks Plaintiffs' motion, as well as any other forthcoming preliminary-injunction motions; or in the alternative;
- (2) That Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' motion be extended from December 8, 2021 to December 22.

Date: December 1, 2021

KEN PAXTON  
Attorney General of Texas

BRENT WEBSTER  
First Assistant Attorney General

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
P.O. Box 12548 (MC-009)  
Austin, Texas 78711-2548  
Tel.: (512) 463-2100  
Fax: (512) 457-4410

Respectfully submitted.

*/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten*  
PATRICK K. SWEETEN  
Deputy Attorney General for Special Litigation  
patrick.sweeten@oag.texas.gov  
Tex. State Bar No. 00798537

WILLIAM T. THOMPSON  
Deputy Chief, Special Litigation Unit  
will.thompson@oag.texas.gov  
Tex. State Bar No. 24088531

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS**

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I certify that I attempted to confer with opposing counsel with respect to this motion shortly before filing. I was unable to reach opposing counsel. It can be assumed that the Brooks Plaintiffs oppose this motion because they have also filed a motion for expedited consideration, which is directly inconsistent with the relief Defendants seek here.

*/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten*  
PATRICK K. SWEETEN

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically (via CM/ECF) on December 1, and that all counsel of record were served by CM/ECF.

*/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten*  
PATRICK K. SWEETEN